

**From:** [Shuna Le Moine](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]; [Rampion2](#)  
**Subject:** Deadline 6 submission from From Shuna Le Moine IP20042053  
**Date:** 01 August 2024 21:40:11

---

Dear Planning Inspectorate Panel,

Just to recap: I totally oppose the Rampion 2 development for the following reasons:

1. Local economy.

This is a tourist and visitor destination for people from all over the country. Littlehampton and Bognor Regis have been working hard to improve their 'bottom of the league' status. We have received a new Levelling up award from government to enhance the Littlehampton seafront to attract more visitors to the area and boost the town's economy. Works are to begin this year. We have also recently made 'Public Realm' improvements with monies partly from the Government's Coastal Communities Fund. To effectively steal our currently serene seascape and transform it into an industrial view, illuminated with flashing lights would be devastating. A lot of people come here to simply recharge their batteries, to breathe the sea air and stare at the distant horizon. Or with their children, to build sandcastles, paddle and chase the waves. Or to paddle board, windsurf, swim or just lay on the beach. Certainly not such a pleasure with a wind farm operating in such close proximity or whilst under construction!

2. Kelp Forest

Our newly restored kelp forest which is not only a carbon capture but also a nursery for wildlife and will become a natural coastal defence, would be harmed by the inevitable disturbance of the sea bed.

3. Noise

As this is proposed so close to the shore, the noise of the piling which will take place over years, will, as it did with Rampion 1, affect a lot of local inhabitants and, of course deter our visitors. It would also inevitably harm and deter sea dwelling creatures.

The sound of the sweeping turbine blades would carry inland and produce an inescapable hum across the sea. Although this might not be 'audible' by everyone, it can still cause stress and mental health issues.

The necessary helicopter traffic would destroy the peace of the rolling waves and the fun seaside haven for families and individuals.

4. Why?!

Nowhere else are such mega turbines being proposed so close to the shore.

This would not be allowed in any other country.

5. Birds and insects.

The enormous turning blades would decimate migrating birds and insects.

6. Money.

We, the tax payers and energy consumers would be subsidising an irregular and comparatively inefficient energy source.

7. Costs versus benefits

The cost to our residents, to our communities and damage to our tourist trade would greatly outweigh any benefits.

8. In contravention of international commitment.

It would breach our international commitments under the European Landscape Convention.

9. Not British.

As we've exited ourselves from Europe, surely this country should be focussing on British companies, British energy, and not making ourselves dependant on or subsidising foreign energy companies?

10. Levelling up.

As I said, this area is currently low down on the league - Our Levelling up grant was an effort to boost our status. We should be enhancing the area, not destroying it.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely  
Shuna Le Moine  
Sent from my iPad